THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 8418 of 2007

Dated: 23.04.2007

Between:

Mrs. Y. Susheela.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The Assistant General Manager (Administration) for Chief General Manager, BSNL, A.P.Circle, Door Sanchar Bhavan, Nampally Station Road, Hyderabad and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 8418 of 2007

ORDER:

Questioning the proceedings dated 05.03.2007 of the 1st respondent, rejecting the claim of compassionate appointment to the son of the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

2. The petitioner states that her husband, namely Y.L.Sudarshan Rao, was found missing since 13.09.1992, and since his whereabouts were not known from 13.02.1992, she filed W.P.No.11821 of 1999 for release of his pensionary benefits. The said writ petition was allowed on 08.08.2000 declaring that her husband died, and thereafter, her pension was fixed and was being paid accordingly. Again, she filed W.P.No.21663 of 2006 seeking compassionate appointment to her son Y. Jagadeesh in the respondent-Organization. This Court, in W.P.M.P.No.27468 of 2006 in W.P.No.21663 of 2006, dated 18.10.2006, directed the respondents to consider the case of her son Y. Jagadeesh to be appointed in the vancacy of her husband late Y.L.Sudershan Rao, who died in harness in the year 1992. Thereafter, the 1st respondent passed the impugned order rejecting the claim of compassionate appointment. Hence, the present writ petition is filed.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-Organization.

4. Admittedly, the petitioner filed W.P.No.21663 of 2006 seeking compassionate appointment to her son on account of the death of her husband. Pending the writ petition, this Court, in W.P.M.P.No.27468 of 2006, dated 18.10.2006 directed the respondent to consider the case of the son of the petitioner to be appointed in the vacancy of her husband late Y.L.Sudershan Rao, who died in harness in the year 1992. Pursuant to the said direction, the 1st respondent passed the impugned order rejecting her claim of compassionate appointment to her son. Since W.P.No.21663 of 2006, which is filed for compassionate appointment, is pending consideration, the present writ petition filed by the petitioner seeking the self-same relief as claimed therein, is not maintainable. However, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application in the very same writ petition, if she is so aggrieved, but not by way of filing another writ petition.

5. The Writ Petition is devoid of merit and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Dated: 23.04.2007

sj